

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/787,176		02/27/2004	Chan-Tung Chen	3624-0157P	4600		
2292	7590	04/25/2006		EXAMINER			
		RT KOLASCH &	COZART, JERMIE E				
PO BOX FALLS C		I, VA 22040-0747	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
		,		3726			
				DATE MAILED: 04/25/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application No.	Apı	plicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			10/787,176	СН	EN, CHAN-TUNG				
			Examiner	Art	Unit				
			Jermie Cozart	372	26 .				
	The MAILING DATE of this communi	ication appea	rs on the cover sheet	with the corre	spondence addres	s			
Period fo	• •								
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MINIORS of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DAT of 37 CFR 1.136(nunication. atutory period will will, by statute, ca	E OF THIS COMMUI a). In no event, however, may apply and will expire SIX (6) M use the application to become	NICATION. If a reply be timely file MONTHS from the manage ABANDONED (35)	ed ailing date of this commur U.S.C. § 133).				
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on 16 Feb	ruary 2006.						
•	•		ction is non-final.						
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
	closed in accordance with the practic	ce under <i>Ex</i>	parte Quayle, 1935 C	C.D. 11, 453 O	.G. 213.				
Dispositi	on of Claims								
·	Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the a	pplication.							
• -	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)🖾	Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	tion and/or e	election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers								
9)	The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.							
,	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:		ted or b)☐ objected	to by the Exan	niner.				
	Applicant may not request that any object	ction to the dra	awing(s) be held in abey	yance. See 37	CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction	n is rèquired if the drawi	ing(s) is objecte	d to. See 37 CFR 1.	.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Exar	niner. Note the attach	hed Office Acti	on or form PTO-1	52 .			
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign p	riority under 35 U.S.C	C. § 119(a)-(d)	or (f).	*			
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		• •						
	1. Certified copies of the priority	documents l	nave been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority	documents I	nave been received ir	n Application N	lo				
	3. Copies of the certified copies	of the priority	documents have be	en received in	this National Stag	је			
	application from the Internatio	,	,						
* 5	See the attached detailed Office actio	n for a list of	the certified copies n	not received.					
		·							
Attachmen	•		4) T 1-4 :	w Cummer /DTC) <i>4</i> 12)				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P	TO-948)	Paper N	ew Summary (PTC No(s)/Mail Date	·				
3) Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date		5) Notice 6		Application (PTO-152	')			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 4-6, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Igarashi (4,252,262) in view of Matsumoto et al. (JP 2002224858 A) and Chang (US 2002/0187851).

Igarashi discloses manufacturing a golf club head (1), by forming a first inclined surface [comprised of a face (21) and flanges (18, 19, 20)] on an inner periphery of an opening (8) of a body (11), forming a second inclined surface on an outer periphery of a striking plate (12) with a second inclination corresponding to that of the first inclined surface of the opening (8) of the body (11), engaging the second inclined surface of the striking plate (12) with the inclined surface of the body (11), such that the striking plate (12) is engaged in the opening of the body (11) and the second inclined surface is engaged with the first inclined surface of the opening of body (11) to form an engaging area between the striking plate and the body in preparation for welding (i.e. fusion). The first inclined surface of the body (11) has a height greater than a thickness of the striking plate (12). The second inclined surface of the striking plate (12) has an annular groove (not shown) which is complementary to the flanges of the first inclined surface of the body. The opening (8) of the body (11) further includes a shoulder formed by the

Application/Control Number: 10/787,176

Art Unit: 3726

flanges (18, 19, 20). The first inclined surface of the body (11) is formed on an inner perimeter surface delimiting the opening (8), and the second inclined surface of the striking plate (12) is formed on an inner perimeter surface of the striking plate. See column 1, lines 35-40; column 2, lines 4-47; and figures 2 and 4 for further clarification.

Igarashi, however, does not disclose the following: exerting a predetermined force to the striking plate to tightly embed the striking plate in the opening of the body in preparation for friction welding, moving a rotating pin along the engaging area between the striking plate and the body to proceed with the friction welding with the predetermined force exerting on the striking plate, or surface finishing the engaging area between the striking plate and the body.

Matsumoto discloses exerting a predetermined force (i.e. press-fit force) onto a plate (1) which is thereby translated to the plate (3) in preparation for friction welding, and a rotating pin (11) is moved along an engaging area between two plates (1, 2) to proceed with friction welding, with the predetermined force exerting on the first plate (1), in order to provide a high strength joint. See abstract and figures 1-2 for further clarification.

Chang discloses surface finishing an engaging area between the between the striking plate (10) and the body (20) of a golf club head, in order to remove any burrs.

See paragraph [0021] and figure 2 for further clarification.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to exert a predetermined force to the striking plate of Igarashi to tightly embed the plate in the opening of the body in preparation for friction welding, friction weld the striking plate of Igarashi to the body, and surface finish the engaging area between the striking plate and the body of Igarashi, in light of the

Application/Control Number: 10/787,176

Art Unit: 3726

respective teachings of Matsumoto and Chang, in order to provide a high strength joint between the assembled parts, and remove any burrs from the surface.

3. Claims 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over lgarashi/Matsumoto/Chang as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Chen (5,871,408).

Igarashi/Matsumoto/Chang discloses all of the claimed subject matter except for an intermediate layer of nickel formed as a coating between the first inclined surface of the body and the second inclined surface of the striking plate.

Chen discloses an intermediate layer (30) of nickel formed as a coating between the inclined surface of the body (10) and the inclined surface of the striking plate (20).

See column 2, lines 1-29, and figures 1-2 for further clarification.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention provide the golf club head of Igarashi/Matsumoto/Chang with an intermediate layer of nickel formed as a coating between the first inclined surface of the body and the second inclined surface of the striking plate, in light of the teachings of Chen, in order to effectively fuse the striking plate with the body.

4. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aizawa (5,697,855) in view of Matsumoto et al. (JP 2002224858 A) and Chang (US 2002/0187851).

Aizawa discloses manufacturing a golf club head (45), by forming a first inclined surface on an inner periphery of an opening (57) of a body (47) with a first inclination, forming a second inclined surface on an outer periphery of a striking plate (55) with

Application/Control Number: 10/787,176

Art Unit: 3726

second inclination corresponding to that of the first inclined surface of the opening of the body, engaging the inclined surface of the striking plate (55) with the inclined surface of the body (47), and a force is exerted to the striking plate (55) to engage the striking plate in the opening of the body. The first inclined surface delimiting the opening of the body (47) tapers inward, and the second inclined surface of the striking plate tapers rearward. The first inclined surface delimiting the opening (57) of the body is one of planar and arcuate, and the second inclined surface of the striking plate (55) is one of planar and arcuate. The first inclined surface of the body (47) has a height greater than a thickness of the striking plate such that the striking plate is retained within the opening (57) and being flush with the body (47). See column 5, lines 33-52, and figure 6 for further clarification.

Aizawa, however, does not disclose the following: exerting a predetermined force to the striking plate to tightly embed the striking plate in the opening of the body in preparation for friction welding, moving a rotating pin along the engaging area between the striking plate and the body to proceed with the friction welding with the predetermined force exerting on the striking plate, or surface finishing the engaging area between the striking plate and the body.

Matsumoto discloses exerting a predetermined force (i.e. press-fit force) onto a plate (1) which is thereby translated to the plate (3) in preparation for friction welding, and a rotating pin (11) is moved along an engaging area between two plates (1, 2) to proceed with friction welding, with the predetermined force exerting on the first plate (1), in order to provide a high strength joint. See abstract and figures 1-2 for further clarification.

Chang discloses surface finishing an engaging area between the between the striking plate (10) and the body (20) of a golf club head, in order to remove any burrs.

See paragraph [0021] and figure 2 for further clarification.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to exert a predetermined force to the striking plate of Aizawa to tightly embed the plate in the opening of the body in preparation for friction welding, friction weld the striking plate of Aizawa to the body, and surface finish the engaging area between the striking plate and the body of Aizawa, in light of the respective teachings of Matsumoto and Chang, in order to provide a high strength joint between the assembled parts, and remove any burrs from the surface.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-10 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jermie Cozart whose telephone number is 571-272-4528. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 7:30 am 6:00 pm.
- 7. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Bryant can be reached on 571-272-4526. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/787,176 Page 7

Art Unit: 3726

8. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jermie Cozart Examiner

Art Unit 3726